

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/547,066		BRUGGEMANN, MARIANNE	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Q. JANICE LI		1633	

All Participants:

(1) Q. JANICE LI, PTO.

(2) John van Amsterdam, Appl. Rep.

Date of Interview: 6 December 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a) of record.

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record under 103(a) of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Q. JANICE LI/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that claim 3 but not claim 1 is allowable in view of the applicant's declaration, which had been made clear in the interview summary mailed 9/24/10. It is necessary to incorporate the limitation of claim 3 into claim 1 in order to allow the pending claims. The applicant's rep agreed to discuss the matter with the applicant. On 12/8/10, the applicant's rep. indicated that the applicant agreed to make the change by an Examiner's amendment..